

I'm writing to object the application 151811 for the proposed development on Kings Crescent/St Peter Street.

*With regards to the proposed Parking and the minimal parking arrangements due to its city centre location and use as student accommodation. As such there is no car parking for students with the exception of disabled and staff spaces and student drop-off.* 1) Due to Kings Crescent being on the edge of the city centre, it is already used as a commute area for people that work in the city, and this already causes problems with loss of car park spaces. 2) The idea that students don't have cars is ridiculous as, so many car park spaces are already being taken by students, so I find it very naïve and astonishing they dare to suggest these 202 students won't have cars. The combination of the previous 2 comments already result that parking in our street at the moment is virtually impossible even without the new built of 202 additional student rooms. People are being double parked; parked on double yellow lines; and block people in that are legitimately parked. It's already unsafe as it is and I'm concerned that this new built will not improve that, more the opposite. I'm also very interested in where they suggest the first bust staff parks?

*Re to the ensuring of high standards of design, new development must be designed with due consideration for its context and make a positive contribution to its setting. Factors such as scale, massing, colour, materials, details, the proportions of building elements and landscaping will be considered in assessing this.* Kings Crescent is a very pretty street, which has such a nice appeal due to the openness and feel of being surrounded by green. By building an enormous 6 story high student accommodation, all this will be vanished and will have a serious impact on the natural light. It is absolutely not in proportion with the other buildings in the street and will absolutely not make a positive contribution. The trees they are planning on taking out, currently gives Kings Crescent a really nice green feel which will also be destroyed.

Infrastructure – As this is near Old Aberdeen, the roads and pavements were never built to accommodate all these extra people. In several places the pavements are not large enough for two people to walk side by side. In addition to this the street lighting in the surrounding area, especially Kings Crescent, The Spital and Orchard Street is extremely poor. The influx of so many new residents into the area would place an enormous pressure on the local amenities such as doctors and dental practices. Already there is at least a 3 week wait for a doctor's appointment during term time. This proposed development is putting nothing back into the local community - no open spaces or play parks for current residents.

Re their comment that considered it can be demonstrated that the use would cause no conflict with, or any nuisance to, the enjoyment of existing residential amenity. I find that impossible to believe. We already struggle with weekly peak times of student antisocial behaviour. My car has been vandalised several times by them; Students Urinating in our front garden; Students Littering everywhere, by kicking over all the recycling or bins; and of course the noise. Don't get me wrong I

have nothing against students and have been one myself for many years. However, these 202 new student rooms will throw the balance out completely of residents and students in our street.

I would be extremely disappointed if these points were not taken into consideration as I worked hard to buy my flat and it would go down in value if this development goes ahead. I'm also fully aware that most flats around me are rented out and many of the owners have not been made aware that this application has been submitted due to the renters not passing on this information. This on its own already makes it very unfair to even start this battle against this application.

Kind Regards,

Diana Daneels,

Owner 33 E kings Crescent,

AB243HP

Aberdeen.